UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,288	09/18/2006	Munetaka Watanabe	Q81522	8457	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 06/24/200 ON. PLLC	EXAMINER			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			JAHAN, BILKIS		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/593,288	WATANABE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	BIEIGO OF ATTIVATO	2017	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was among the notice. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	ΓE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bef appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		i be entered and an ex	pianation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.	·	r corrainon for anomari.	so sociation.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Wai-Sing Louie/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2814	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argued that "uemura discloses in Fig. 1 and Fig. 4 two separate and independent embodiments and therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would not consider forming the bonding pad layer 320 of Figure 4A on the current diffusing layer 112 of Fig. 1" However, Examiner espectfully disagrees about this because two embodiments in same application can be combined by one of the ordinary skill of th art. The two embodiments are structurally same. Only difference is the flip chip type and wire bonding type. However, the claim 1 is not a package claim. Therefore, claim 1 is obvious over the combination of two embodiments.

Applicant's also argued that "..the contact metal layer is less than o.1 nm, a stabilized thin film can hardly be obtained, whereas if it exceeds 7.5 nm, the transparency decreases..." However, Uemura's contact metal layer 111 has certain thickness and itwould have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill of the art to use suitable thickness for figures 1 and 4A in order to pass light (See MPEP 2144.04).